## ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 006** 

Abstract/Paper Title: Common Space Layout Model: Nursing Home

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

|     |  | YES | Needs<br>Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric<br>number below for each row,<br>10 being highest 1 being lowest |  |
|-----|--|-----|---------------|----|---|--|
| 1.  | Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes                                 |     |               | Х  | 5   |  |
| 2.  | The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.      |     | Х             |    | 6   |  |
| 3.  | The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.  | Х   |               |    | 7   |  |
| 4.  | The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.                                  | Х   |               |    | 7   |  |
| 5.  | The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | Х   |               |    | 7   |  |
| 6.  | The research study methods are sound and appropriate.  | Х   |               |    | 7   |  |
| 7.  | The writing is clear, concise and interesting.   |     | х             |    | 6   |  |
| 8.  | The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.                   |     | х             |    | 6   |  |
| 9.  | The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.                                |     | х             |    | 6   |  |
| 10. | Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees                   |     |               | Х  | 5   |  |
|     | Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 5,5   |     |               |    |   |  |

#### Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract summarizes a methodical study on the basis of a relatively straightforward scenographic analysis approach.

The project as such seems to be sound though somewhat obvious in its methodology and outcomes, making use of 'parameters, depth and connectivity' as its central factors. The issue of 'depth' appears to be central to the experiment shown, but is nor substantiated or communicated visually it seems.

There is considerable doubt it the theme and the content are fitting in the context of a DCA conference.

#### **Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- ( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- ( ) 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- (x) 3. Not Recommended

# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 006** 

### Abstract/Paper Title: Common Space spatial layout design model in nursing home

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

|   |  | YES | Needs<br>Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric<br>number below for each row,<br>10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|--|-----|---------------|----|---|
| 1.  | Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes                                 |     | Х             |    | 5   |
| 2.  | The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.      | X   |               |    | 10  |
| 3.  | The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.  | Х   |               |    | 10  |
| 4.  | The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.                                  |     | Х             |    | 5   |
| 5.  | The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. |     | X             |    | 5   |
| 6.  | The research study methods are sound and appropriate.  |     | Х             |    | 5   |
| 7.  | The writing is clear, concise and interesting.   |     | Х             |    | 5   |
| 8.  | The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.                   |     | Х             |    | 5   |
| 9.  | The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.                                |     | Х             |    | 5   |
| 10.                                       | Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees                   | Х   |               |    | 10  |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 65 |  |     |               |    |   |

### Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract is in need of numerous grammatical corrections, but the project would make for an interesting presentation. Please revise with corrections, and show more clear examples of how space syntax is used, show a clear methodology and more compelling images than those provided. Would not accept without revisions.

### **Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- ( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- (X) 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- ( ) 3. Not Recommended

# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 006** 

Abstract/Paper Title: Common space spatial layout design model in nursing home

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

|   |  | YES | Needs<br>Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric<br>number below for each row,<br>10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|--|-----|---------------|----|---|
| 1.  | Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes                                 | ٧   |               |    | 10  |
| 2.  | The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.      | V   |               |    | 9   |
| 3.  | The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.  | ٧   |               |    | 10  |
| 4.  | The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.                                  | ٧   |               |    | 8   |
| 5.  | The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | V   |               |    | 7   |
| 6.  | The research study methods are sound and appropriate.  | ٧   |               |    | 9   |
| 7.  | The writing is clear, concise and interesting.   | v   |               |    | 9   |
| 8.  | The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.                   |     |               | V  | 0   |
| 9.  | The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.                                | ٧   |               |    | 8   |
| 10.                                       | Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees                   | ٧   |               |    | 10  |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 80 |  |     |               |    |   |

#### Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

- Missing references and in-text citations
- Need more research background information
- Is there any reason that the Control Value was excluded from spatial attributes?
- Is there any evidence that there is a relationship between easier access to the common space and enhanced social activity from the previous studies and any references?
- What is the conclusion of this research?

#### **Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- (v) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)
- ( ) 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- ( ) 3. Not Recommended