

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 009

Abstract/Paper Title: Lungi Man: Ergonomics

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			x	5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			x	5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 5,5				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The concept of finding fitting ergonomic standards is in principle interesting.

However, it is as yet unclear to what extent the potential paper might contribute to the furthering of design communication, physical as well as digital, in the context of the forthcoming DCA conference..

Serious doubts.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 009

Abstract/Paper Title: Lungi Man....

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		X		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			X	2
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			X	2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			X	2
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	2
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	2
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			X	2

Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 29

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract is unclear, does not address the conference theme and does little to discuss design communication of dimensioning in bangladesh. This could be an interesting project but as written, the abstract needs too much work. Revise with clear description of methods and process and cite your sources. Basic grammatical errors are too frequent and basic rules of abstract writing are not followed here, consider a full rewrite.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 009

Abstract/Paper Title: understanding ergonomics and anthropometry of bangladeshi population and exploring its impact on improving architectural design

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	v			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	v			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		v		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		v		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		v		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		v		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	v			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			v	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		v		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	v			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 68				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

- Missing references, in-text citations, and an image label
- The purpose of the research is not clear
- What methods did you use to investigate the anthropometry of Bangladeshi individuals? What specific data did you analyze? → need to be more specific
- What is the main reason of incorporating the new anthropometric standards to Bangladeshi architectural design? – To reduce waste? This abstract may need more background information and provide the specific rationale to support the research purpose
- Need more explanation about the “ergonomics” part also - check the title

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**