

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 014**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Tromb Wall System: Efficiency**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			x	5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		x		6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.			x	5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		x		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			x	6
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 5+</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The abstract outline the issues of energy recourses and efficiency in very general terms.

Much is expected from the 'Tromb' wall system, but why? The system deserves to be defined and standards for objective evacuation should be specified: preferably using visual / graphic means, which would be beneficial in the context of the DCA's central issues.

Serous doubts.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 014**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Tromb Wall...**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			X	2
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.			X	2
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.			X	2
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			X	2
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			X	2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			X	2
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	2
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	2
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			X	2
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 20</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

This abstract is incoherent and essentially unreadable. There is no evidence offered for the argument in favor of passive energy use, no description of process of method, numerous basic errors. Reject.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 014**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Tromb Wall System utilization for energy efficiency in solar architecture**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	v			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	v			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	v			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		v		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	v			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		v		8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		v		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			v	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		v		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	v			8
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 72</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

- Trombe, not Tromb in the title
- Double-check sentence structure, grammar issues, and organization of the abstract
- Missing references and in-text citations
- What is the conclusion?
- Be more specific about the data collection method and some findings

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**