

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 030**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Digital Tablet Observation Drawing**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		4
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	2
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			8
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 54</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The work is pertinent to the conference objectives. Numerous conference attendees will refer to teaching activities in representation and how this activity impacts on design thinking. Hence, in order to contribute to the debate of the conference with original arguments, I suggest the author/s to better address and critically discuss the literature review of similar case studies as well. In fact, we can address many similar experiences but only few try to make connections and learn from each other. Moreover, from a scientific point of view, it is not so easy to address the change in terms of knowledge acquisition when new methods are introduced in teaching. Anyway, qualitative interpretation of results can be reported in the paper. Hence, be sure to report the methodology and the way you interpret outcomes.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 30**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Digital Tablet**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		4
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	3
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			8
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 61</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

It would help to include an examination/evaluation of the relevant literature on the subject – who else is using tablets for observational drawing exercises, and what has been their experience? While the proposed study is interesting, it is almost certainly one of many that are dealing with very similar if not identical issues. Adding a cross-institutional component (including more than one school) to this study would be extremely valuable.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 30**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Digital Tablet Observation Drawing: Wacom Cintiq/Corel Painter**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
11. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes				10
12. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.				10
13. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.				7
14. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				7
15. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.				10
16. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.				7
17. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.				10
18. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				7
19. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.				7
20. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees				10
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows:</b>				<b>85</b>

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

- Simple and Interesting
- Needs to tie to the 'big picture' – i.e. what are the theoretical and pedagogical implications besides being a tool for image-making
- Can you connect it to studies conducted on interactive design and user experience
- Are there any empirical research conducted in the classroom tracking its usage?

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**