

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 038

Abstract/Paper Title:

REIMAGINING TRADITIONAL DESIGN PROCESSES: CONTRASTING VIRTUAL AND ACTUAL

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 72				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The research is interesting and pertinent to the conference theme. Bibliographical references are completely missing. Please, in the final paper be careful to well balance the contents of the contribution, since in the abstract 2\3 are devoted to the introductory part while the crucial text is relegated to the last paragraph.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 38

**Abstract/Paper Title: (REIMAGINING TRADITIONAL DESIGN PROCESSES:
CONTRASTING VIRTUAL AND ACTUAL)**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 78				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Interesting topic and method. What was the research related to? What are applications?

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(X) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 38

**Abstract/Paper Title: REIMAGINING TRADITIONAL DESIGN PROCESSES:
CONTRASTING VIRTUAL AND ACTUAL**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		x		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 71				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback): Good idea, would have been helpful to discuss the traditional design process in more detail. Intent and conclusion not clear, nevertheless an interesting proposal.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**