

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 043**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Mise-en-Scene and its Visual Impact**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		x		6
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			x	0 (missing)
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		3
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		x		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	0 (missing)
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 49</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The topic is pertinent to the conference theme. It is not clear how the author's tested the "psychological and philosophical impact" of the experimental film. No references nor state-of-the-art.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 43**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Mise-en-Scene and its Visual Impact**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		X		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.			X	3
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			X	3
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	3
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			X	3
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			X	3
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	3
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		5
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows:33</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):** topic is interesting but very broad. Need to be more specific about terms: art forms, type of digital visual recording technology, visual culture, and experimental film. What is the application? Why is this valued?

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 043**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Mise-en-Scene and its Visual Impact**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		x		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 78</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):** Intent very clear. Well written. Look forward to the final product!

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**