

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 047

Abstract/Paper Title: Hidden/Exposed: Exploring Materiality in Digital Culture through Split Vision Urbanism

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			x	0 (missing)
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	0 (missing)
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract is interesting and pertinent to the conference theme. It is not clear how the comparative study is conducted, that is the methodology that supports the findings. Please, discuss this in the final paper.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 47

Abstract/Paper Title: Hidden/Exposed: Exploring Materiality in Digital Culture through Split Vision Urbanism

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	3
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	3
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 59				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Topic is relevant to conference and seems interesting. However, it is not clear what the methodology is and how the comparisons can identify hidden, camouflaged, materiality and urban spatial structures. It would be helpful if author could clarify comparison, methodology, outcomes and applications.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 047

Abstract/Paper Title: Hidden/Exposed: Exploring Materiality in Digital Culture through Split Vision Urbanism

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		x		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.			x	2
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			x	2
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			x	2
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		x		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		x		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 44				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Sorry it was hard to understand the intent, outcomes, and method. Perhaps the proposal, might be interesting for a poster session. It would be good to simplify the construct of the abstract. It seems that you wish to discuss a creative endeavor/artistic film, why try to spin it as research? The merit might be in the arts, technology-use, and communication strategies... My apologies if I missed the point!

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**