ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 050

Abstract/Paper Title: Virtual Systems Actual Objects

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		x		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 68				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The abstract is interesting and pertinent to the conference topics.
There are some issue regarding the anonymity of the contribution due to the note that refers to another contribution of the author.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(x) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 50

Abstract/Paper Title: Virtual Systems -Actual Objects: Rendition of Morphosis' compositional principles in 1980's

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			10
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			10
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 97				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback)
I remember this presentation from the DCA conference. It is helpful to see the differentiation between the representational aspects vs. the compositional aspects. Uncovering the hidden generative processes of Morphosis is an interesting topic and framed well for this conference.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(X) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 050

Abstract/Paper Title: Virtual Systems – Actual Objects: Rendition of Morphosis' Compositional Principles in the mid 1980s

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 74				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):
A very interesting proposal. Although discussed not certain about the analysis method proposed. A sort of form DNA exploration that could prove very interesting… Look forward to the finished product.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(x) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**