

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 052

Abstract/Paper Title: Processing and Design with Technology – Linking Architect and the Thrift Client

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		x		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		4
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			x	0 (missing)
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		4
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	0 (missing)
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 44				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The topic seems interesting and pertinent, but the abstract is not clear enough about the method and how it really makes the design process more affordable. Even the role of technology is not well defined and clearly presented; the references to technology are very general and blurred.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 52

Abstract/Paper Title: Processing and Design with Technology – Linking Architect and the Thrift Client

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		X		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			X	2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	2
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	2
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 38				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The thesis is sound but vague. What types of current technology will the author explore and how? What is this smart use of technology? Also, can technology actually resolve this issue or do other matters have to be considered?

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 052

Abstract/Paper Title: Processing and Design with Technology – Linking Architect and the Thrift Client

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		x		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		x		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		x		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 71				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback): The proposed paper professes a new design delivery method, although the new system is never actually discussed. It eludes to the use of mobile technology, and incremental design services. A very important subject, however the abstract remains vague and doesn't give a clear indication to what the proposed process or method is? But I think the paper should be given a chance...

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**