

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 67

Abstract/Paper Title: Stretching the Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|-----|------------|----|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | x | | | 9 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | x | | | 8 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | x | | | 8 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | x | | | 8 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | x | | 6 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | x | | | 8 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | x | | | 9 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | x | | 6 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | x | | | 8 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | x | | | 10 |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 80 | | | | |

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback): This paper, which explores the relationship between representational technique and time in the design process, should be of great interest to the DCA audience.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 067

Abstract/Paper Title: Stretching the Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|----------|------------|----|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | X | | | 9 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | | X | | 8 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | | X | | 8 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | X | | 7 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | X | | 7 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | | X | | 7 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | | X | | 8 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | X | | NA |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | X | | 6 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | | X | | 7 |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows: | | | | 8 |

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions(mandatory written feedback):

The study is a nice fit to the conference and its theme.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 67

Abstract/Paper Title: Stretching the Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|-----|------------|----|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | X | | | 10 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | X | | | 10 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 10 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | X | | | 10 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | X | | | 10 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | X | | | 10 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | X | | | 10 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | X | | 5 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | X | | | 10 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | X | | | 10 |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 95 | | | | |

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Interesting study for a simple and visual feedback loop for students which helps shape design/

Builds on a previous study with more examples and now includes a web-based application for self-reporting process by students.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**