

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 71

Abstract/Paper Title: THE PROMISE OR MENANCE OF UV: TOPOLOGICAL MASTERPLANNING IN URBAN TRANSFORMATION PROJECTS

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			10
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			10
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			10
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 100				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Interesting project review and study on the investigation into theories of topology within design communication.

A holistic view is used. Design communication is shown in critical thinking and decisions in diagrams in master planning.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 71

Abstract/Paper Title: THE PROMISE OR MENANCE OF UV

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 77				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback): The ideas presented in the abstract should be of interest to DCA audience. The approach proposed by the author/s evaluating parametric tools is innovative.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 071

Abstract/Paper Title: THE PROMISE OR MENACE OF UV: TOPOLOGICAL MASTERPLANNING IN URBAN TRANSFORMATION PROJECTS

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				8

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The study is a nice fit to the conference and its theme but there is no trace of the design/ planning process mentioned. What kind of elements were involved in the process? and how? "Process of setting up protocol frameworks": doesn't that mean a different way of a creation of formalistic design principles? The title should be revised and simplified.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**