

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**xAbstract Number: 074**

**Abstract/Paper Title: EDUCATION OF A ROBOT: Syntax for Unmanned Representations**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 76</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

State of the art topic

No previous research/literature was referred in the body text-> More research/literature will be helpful for understandig the research context

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 74**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Education of a Robot**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 68</b>

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The author was not clear about what their methods or outcomes were.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 74**

**Abstract/Paper Title: EDUCATION OF A ROBOT: Syntax for Unmanned Representations**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.			x	1
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		3
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			X	1
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		3
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			X	1
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			X	2
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	0
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		3
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows:21</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The abstract does not contain any information about the actual study. What methodologies were used in selecting case studies? What is the scope of the study? Does the study focus on representation or manufacturing processes? I think the scope of this study is too broad. The authors should probably consider focusing on representation through robotics as their main theme and discuss the theoretical background that is discussed in literature about creativity, representation and artificial intelligence.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**