

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 078

Abstract/Paper Title: DIY Cartography Mapping as Analytic, Synthetic and Formative Design Research Tool

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|-----|------------|----|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | X | | | 10 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | X | | | 9 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 9 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | X | | 7 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | X | | 7 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | | X | | 7 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | | | X | 6 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | X | | 7 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | X | | 7 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | X | | | 9 |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 78 | | | | |

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

New interpretation for the role of map

It seems for DAC audiences will have interests in this research

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 78

Abstract/Paper Title: DIY Cartography

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|-----|------------|----|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | X | | | 9 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | X | | | 9 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 9 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | X | | | 9 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | X | | | 8 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | X | | | 8 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | X | | | 9 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | X | | | 9 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | X | | | 8 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | X | | | 10 |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 88 | | | | |

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Interesting topic. Outcomes a little unclear.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 78

Abstract/Paper Title: DIY Cartography Mapping as Analytic, Synthetic and Formative Design Research Tool

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | Y E S | Ne eds Wor k | N O | Pl e a s e r a n k b y a |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|--------|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the | x | | | 7 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | X | | | 9 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 7 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | X | | 6 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | X | | | 7 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | | X | | 6 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | X | | | 8 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | X | | | 8 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | X | | 7 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | X | | | 8 |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows:73 | | | | |

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The information about the university should have been removed before submitting. Conclusions should be elaborated.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(X) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. Not Recommended