# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
# 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 079**

**Abstract/Paper Title: SYNTHETIC SPACE-CEPTION: Grasping Synthetic Space through Collaged Viewports**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | | X | | 7 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | | X | | 7 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 9 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | X | | 7 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | | X | 5 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | | | X | 5 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | | | X | 5 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | | X | 5 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | | X | 7 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | | x | | 7 |
| **Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 62** | | | | |

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

Not clear what was the research method

No reference/literature referred in body text

it would be helpful to provide the definition of synthetic space

**Reviewer Recommendation:**
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
**( )** 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

**( )** 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

**(x )** 3. **Not Recommended**

# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
## 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 79**

**Abstract/Paper Title:** Synthetic Space-Ception

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | X | | | 10 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | X | | | 9 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 8 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | X | | 7 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | X | | 7 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | | X | | 7 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | X | | | 9 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | X | | 7 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | X | | | 8 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | X | | | 10 |
| **Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 82** | | | | |

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**
Topic is presented in an engaging and intriguing fashion. The outcomes of the investigation are hard to understand from the abstract and there are no references tying the paper to the larger body of research.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
**( X )** 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

**( )** 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

**( )** 3. **Not Recommended**

# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
# 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 79**

**Abstract/Paper Title:  SYNTHETIC SPACE-CEPTION: Grasping Synthetic Space through Collaged Viewports**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.  Proposed abstract/paper addresses the | | | X | 3 |
| 2.   The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | | X | | 7 |
| 3.   The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | | X | | 7 |
| 4.   The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | X | | 6 |
| 5.   The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | X | | | 8 |
| 6.   The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | | X | | 6 |
| 7.   The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | X | | | 8 |
| 8.   The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | X | | | 8 |
| 9.   The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | X | | 5 |
| 10.  Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | | X | | 6 |
| **Please Add Total Points from All Rows:64** | | | | |

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**
Graphical representation  of the explained  content would have helped in understanding the study better.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

**( )** 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

**( X)** 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

**( )** 3. **Not Recommended**