ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 080

Abstract/Paper Title: iSTUDIO: An Interactive Form-making Environment for Architectural Pedagogy

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 82				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Very state of art topic

It seems for DAC audiences will have interests in this research

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(X) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 78

Abstract/Paper Title: iStudio An Interactive Form-making Environment

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 85				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):
There have been several papers on virtual reality in recent conferences. This paper seems to frame the question and present outcomes that might define the usefulness of VR in the design process.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(X) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 80

Abstract/Paper Title: iSTUDIO: An Interactive Form-making Environment for Architectural Pedagogy

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column
is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1
1. Proposed abstract/paper	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		7
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:85				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):
The study is very interesting and touches upon timely themes. It would have been great to read
some expected outcomes.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

(X) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**