

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 083

Abstract/Paper Title: Polysemic and Monosemic –Image as Agent in the Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 82				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

No literature referred in the body text

It seems DAC audiences will have interests in this research

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 83

Abstract/Paper Title: Polysemic and Monosemic

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 89				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Interesting take on this type of drawing. It would be interesting to see the method of generating these drawings.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(X) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. Not Recommended

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 83

Abstract/Paper Title: Polysemic and Monosemic –Image as Agent in the Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		4
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			9
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	3
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:66				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

It would have been good to read some of the conclusions of the project.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**