

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 084

Abstract/Paper Title: The Role of the Analytique/Hybrid Drawing in the Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.			X	5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			X	5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			X	5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 66				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

More research/literature will be helpful for understandig the research context

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 84

Abstract/Paper Title: The Role of Analytique Drawing in the Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 77				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Interesting idea, but unclear as to what the outcomes are.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 84

Abstract/Paper Title: The Role of the Analytique/Hybrid Drawing in the Design

PROCESS Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		X		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			X	2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	X			9
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	1
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:65				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

“the paper will present work with in depth research on how narrative, diagramming, visualization, and innovation in design shape an understanding of the built environment by beginning with that which we do not know.” It would have been helpful to read about the research that was actually conducted, without which is hard to get a sense of the research aspect of this exercise.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

() 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

(X) 3. **Not Recommended**