

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 100**

**Abstract/Paper Title: A Graphic Constant**

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	√			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	√			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	√			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		√		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		√		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		√		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	√			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			√	2
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		√		7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	√			8
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 70</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions(mandatory written feedback):**

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend

**(√)** 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

**( )** 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

**( )** 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 100**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Visual Ecologies: natural patterns in conceptual optics**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.				
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.				
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows:58</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

This paper generally seems to follow the spirit of the conference theme. This abstract is well presented.

Questions 4, 5, 6, 8 seem more appropriate to a formal paper than an abstract.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

**( X ) 1. Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

**( ) 2. Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

**( ) 3. Not Recommended**

## ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM 2018DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 100**

**Abstract/Paper Title: A Graphic Constant**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		x		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		x		7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			x	6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	4
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows:72</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The abstract describes a teaching strategy to reduce the apparent distance between virtual and actual and strives to integrate different modes of representation. Understanding process, documenting and communication of information fits the conference theme well. The process is described well though the method for critical critique could be enhanced, for instance how were student decisions assessed, qualitatively or quantitatively, linking the process to an area of study.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**