

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 104

Abstract/Paper Title: Big Model + Drawing: An Integrated Design Process

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|-----|------------|----|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | √ | | | 8 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | | √ | | 6 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | √ | | | 8 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | √ | | | 8 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | √ | | 6 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | √ | | | 7 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | √ | | | 7 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | | √ | 3 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | √ | | 6 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | | √ | | 6 |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 65 | | | | |

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions(mandatory written feedback):

Research subject is very common. we are looking some more different with others.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 104

Abstract/Paper Title: Big Model + Drawing: An Integrated Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|-----|------------|----|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | X | | | 8 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | | X | | 8 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 10 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | | | |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | | | |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | | | | |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | | X | | 8 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | | | |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | X | | 8 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | | X | | 8 |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows:50 | | | | |

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This paper generally seems to follow the spirit of the conference theme. The abstract seems to cover well documented ground.

Questions 4, 5, 6, 8 seem more appropriate to a formal paper than an abstract.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 104

Abstract/Paper Title: Big Model + Drawing: An Integrated Design Process

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|-----|------------|----|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | x | | | 8 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | x | | | 8 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | x | | | 8 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | x | | | 8 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | x | | 7 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | x | | | 8 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | x | | | 8 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | x | | 7 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | x | | 7 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | x | | | 8 |
| Please Add Total Points from All Rows:77 | | | | |

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The ability to simulate the environment proves to be indispensable to making good design decisions. As a project the research is likewise very exciting. The abstract needs to be refined to define more specifically the focus of the paper, what about the research are you trying to highlight? There seem to be many good avenues, retool the text to capture particular aspects.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**