

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 105

Abstract/Paper Title: Hybrid Imperfection: Expressive Authenticity and the Computer Sketch

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	√			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		√		6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		√		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			√	4
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		√		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		√		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	√			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		√		7
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		√		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		√		6
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 66				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions(mandatory written feedback):

Impressive title but abstract form is like introduction of submission. Purpose, context and methodology of proposal manuscript should have defined in the text.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 105

Abstract/Paper Title: Hybrid Imperfection: Expressive Authenticity and the Computer Sketch

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.				
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.				
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:57				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This paper generally seems to follow the spirit of the conference theme. The abstract is nicely supported graphically.

Questions 4, 5, 6, 8 seem more appropriate to a formal paper than an abstract.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(X) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)

() 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

() 3. Not Recommended

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 105

Abstract/Paper Title: Hybrid Imperfection: Expressive Authenticity and the Computer Sketch

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			9
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			9
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			9
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			9
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			9
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Interrogating the digital sketch as an authentic artifact is an excellent topic for the DCA conference. The paper would benefit from good edit, interconnecting the ideas around the larger ideas of authenticity. The abstract is a choppy read. Hope the paper expands on the philosophical literature. Look forward to seeing the presentation.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**