# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
# 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 111**

**Abstract/Paper Title:** General Spatial Ability vs. Domain Specific Spatial Ability in Interior Design

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | X | | | 7 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | X | | | 9 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | X | | | 10 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | | | Na |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | X | | | 8 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | X | | | 7 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | | X | | 6 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | | | Na |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | X | | 6 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | X | | | 7 |
| **Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 60** | | | | |

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

Since it may be unusual to quantify the "quality of a design project," and since this reviewer infers a qualitative analysis is necessary with a correlation analysis (SPSS,) then how was design quality quantified?

Several proofreading comments made suggest a rereading by the author with an eye of the editor - too numerous to list here.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
**( )** 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

**(x )** 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

**( )** 3. **Not Recommended**

# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
# 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 111**

**Abstract/Paper Title: (please copy and paste or type in title)**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | | x | | 6 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | | x | | 6 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | | x | | 6 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | x | | 6 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | x | | 6 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | x | | | 6 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | | x | | 6 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | x | | 6 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | x | | 6 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | | x | | 6 |
| **Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 60** | | | | |

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**
This abstract seemed to try to quantify design processes based on statistics. It would be beneficial to discuss "creativity, originality, appropriateness." What would be the extents of those words? Further, design as problem-solving activities was a paradigm that had been challenged in the area of design thinking. Addressing the literature on design-thinking would probably help.

It would be beneficial to formulate the issues and questions of the paper. What would be the extent of the factor of visual communication in this research?

**Reviewer Recommendation:**
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

(X ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

# ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
# 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

**Abstract Number: 111**

**Abstract/Paper Title:** General Spatial Ability vs. Domain Specific Spatial Ability in Interior Design: How Do They Relate with Interior Design Performance?

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

| | YES | Needs Work | NO | Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes | v | | | 8 |
| 2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice. | v | | | 8 |
| 3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly. | | v | | 5 |
| 4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. | | v | | 6 |
| 5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. | | v | | 6 |
| 6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate. | v | | | 6 |
| 7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting. | | | v | 4 |
| 8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant. | | v | | 5 |
| 9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | | v | | 6 |
| 10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees | | v | | 7 |
| **Please Add Total Points from All Rows:  61** | | | | |

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

This abstract describes a study which seems very complicated.  I think that it would benefit from simplification and focus on what the conclusions of the research.  What is the new knowledge which has been created?

**Reviewer Recommendation:**
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
**( )** 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

**(x )** 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

**( )** 3. **Not Recommended**