ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 113

Abstract/Paper Title: Understanding Perception of Spatial Depth Using an Eye Tracking Device

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				Na
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		6
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				Na
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		4
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 49				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

What are the limitations of assessing spatial three-dimensional depth from a two-dimensional image? This may be a very large intellectual leap. Reviewer has doubts as to causation effect or mechanism to explain correlation.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

(x) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 113

Abstract/Paper Title: Understanding Perception of Spatial Depth Using an Eye Tracking Device

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	x			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			8
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 80				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):
The abstract is very well written and crafted. The issues are clearly stated and the research process was clearly laid out. It would be beneficial to tie the research back to practice and teaching.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(X) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 113

Abstract/Paper Title: Understanding Perception of Spatial Depth Using an Eye Tracking Device

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	v			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		v		4
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		v		4
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		v		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			v	4
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		v		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			v	4
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			v	4
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		v		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		v		4
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 47				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This paper directly describes a very practical study with a commercial goal of being able to satisfy the client. Is there a bigger context to it and a desire to create new knowledge beyond the immediate needs?

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

(x) 3. **Not Recommended**