

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 119

Abstract/Paper Title: Man-Made to Born-Made

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				Na
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				Na
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 65				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Take care not to overuse commas. Sentence construction may flow better.

Does argument hinge on polemical angle regarding consumerism? Seems strong enough to reader if argument stays with the contemporary inefficiencies.

Define "philosophy of next nature."

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 119

Abstract/Paper Title: Man-Made to Born-Made

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		x		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.			x	3
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.			x	3
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			x	3
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			x	2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			x	3
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			x	3
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			x	3
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			x	3
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		x		4
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 32				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

It would be beneficial to expand the basis to cover the notion of technosphere, for example, by referring to Timothy Luke or William Mitchell. The abstract contained too many jargons without clear efforts to describe them and then articulate the relationship between them. It would be clearer to identify one particular concept then built up around that concept. The abstract would benefit from establishing the relationship to the realm of design communication.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 119

Abstract/Paper Title: MAN-MADE TO BORN-MADE: SHIFTING PERSPECTIVES IN ARCHITECTURE

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes			v	2
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	v			7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		v		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	v			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		v		4
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	v			6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		v		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		v		4
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		v		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		v		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 50				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This abstract brings up important and very interesting issues but I have a very hard time finding any connection to the issues that are central to DCA or the theme of the conference.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**