

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 128

Abstract/Paper Title: "Innovation + China"

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes				0
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.				2
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.				10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.				0
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.				2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.				5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.				7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees				0
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 33				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Address the conf. theme

Need to seek a critical position in which you also do a comparative study. A singular disposition is not a scholarly position...it's just a report.

Support your argument with research

Be more critical in your study

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 128

Abstract/Paper Title: “ „Innovation + China“: Outlining Future Workplace” --- A cross-disciplinary and cross-culture design teaching experiment

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			8
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	X			8
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 82				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The paper and project sounds interesting but it is unclear what the role of design communication plays in the studios and their collaboration. It would be advisable to make this connection stronger in the paper. There are some minor grammar issues in the abstract that should be addressed, but overall a good paper—just make the connection back to the theme of the conference much stronger so that the paper is very specific to the DCA conference.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 128

Abstract/Paper Title: “ „Innovation + China“: Outlining Future Workplace”
--- A cross-disciplinary and cross-culture design teaching experiment

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference	✓			7
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	✓			6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	✓			7
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			✓	3
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		✓		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	✓			7
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	✓			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			✓	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			✓	0
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		✓		6
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:		49		

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This abstract is interesting but there is no evidence of the actual work, just talk about the project and who was involved. Did this already occur? Many references about what will be discussed without any actual details, examples or citations.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**