ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 132

Abstract/Paper Title: Transition and progression of design - Analogue design process to digital software

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	√			5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.			√	0
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.			√	2
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			√	0
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			√	2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			√	0
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		√		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			√	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			√	0
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		√		2
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				**16**

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This abstract presents an idea but no apparent research by the author, no conclusions, no citations, i.e. all the things necessary for a good abstract submission. It is unclear what would be presented.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

(√) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 132

Abstract/Paper Title: Transition and Progression of Design

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	■			5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	■			5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		■		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			■	3
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			■	0
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			■	0
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		■		3
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			■	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			■	0
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		■		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 26				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The topic is confusing at best. Your abstract does not display any research rigor. It's an opinion that is not supported by any data or scholarly research. Please rewrite with clarity and intent. Good luck.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

(x) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

Abstract Number: 132

Abstract/Paper Title: Transition and progression of design - Analogue design process to digital software

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work		Please rank by a numeric number below for each row.
1. Proposed abstract/pap	X			
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			6
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		7
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	X			7
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 80				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback)

This Is an interesting topic and I think would be a good paper. However, my main concern is that the discussion and conclusions may be based solely on the author's perspective. It would be much stronger if outside research was referenced or if original research was conducted. While, I agree with some of the ideas and statements in some contexts, the paper should not be just a personal reflection without other supporting evidence. Again, I would go to a session like this if it provided a strong basis for its conclusions and for the methodology that it is proposing.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

(x) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**