ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 136

Abstract/Paper Title: The Mantle of Piranesi: Hybrid Explorations Between Analog and Digital Marking and Making

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	√			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	√			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	√			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	√			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	√			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	√			10
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	√			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		√		8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		√		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	√			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				**94**

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This abstract hits the mark, should be very interestiing to the conference attendees.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

(√) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 136

Abstract/Paper Title: The Mantle of Riranesi

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		■		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	■			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	■			8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		■		5
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.			■	2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			■	2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.			■	0
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			■	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	■			8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		■		5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 43				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Your writing skills need more work!!!!

The topic may be of interest to the conf. if written properly.

Support your paper with data and solid research. Seek the "second opposing" opinion.

Good luck.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
( ) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

(x) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 136

Abstract/Paper Title: The Mantle of Piranesi: Hybrid Explorations Between Analog and Digital Marking and Making

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row,
1. Proposed abstract/pap	x			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			10
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			10
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			10
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 100				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback)

Fascinating topic and an innovative way to look at Piranesi from a modern perspective—through our modern tools. This paper seems to have a very solid background of research already completed. I look forward to seeing the paper completed.

Reviewer Recommendation:
Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.
(x) 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

( ) 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

( ) 3. **Not Recommended**