

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 137

Abstract/Paper Title: Total Recall-ibration: Teaching Spatial Thinking and Critical Design with Virtual Reality

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	✓			9
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	✓			10
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	✓			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	✓			8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	✓			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	✓			10
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	✓			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			✓	0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		✓		8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	✓			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows:				85

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

This abstract would benefit from some examples and some graphics to help illustrate the ideas. Citations and references are needed.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- 2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- 3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 137

Abstract/Paper Title: Total Recall

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes				5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.				5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.				8
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.				8
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.				2
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.				2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.				5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.				0
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.				8
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees				5
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 48				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Not too sure about the polemical nature of the topic as is! Is reads as a single-sided report about an obvious subject. Is there an opposing view or a research that you may add to increase the credibility of your proposed paper?

The topic may be of interest to the conf. if written properly.

Support your paper with data and solid research. Seek the “second opposing” opinion.

Good luck.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

Abstract Number: 137

Abstract/Paper Title: Total Recall-ibration: Teaching Spatial Thinking and Critical Design with Virtual Reality

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row,
1. Proposed abstract/pap	x			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			8
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	x			10
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	x			10
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	x			10
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.	x			9
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	x			10
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.	x			8
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	x			10
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	x			10
Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 97				

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback)

Good abstract proposal. One of its strength is that it is looking at VR as a linkage mechanism between the construction side and the design side. Another strength is that it is looking at VR as a communication tool, but with a major goal of shortening the feedback loop as a means to improve the design and construction of a project. Overall, it appears that this would lead to a very good paper. It would be a session that I would enjoy attending.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**