ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 146

Abstract/Paper Title: Transfusing Architecture

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	Work	NO	number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
	Х		5
X			7
Х			7
Х			7
n X			9
Х			7
Х			7
		Х	4
	Х		6
Х			7
	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

The topic sounds interesting, the research aspect of the project is well laid out, ut it is difficult to see the process of investigation from the abstract. There was no bibliography.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- (X) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)
- () 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- () 3. Not Recommended

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 146

Abstract/Paper Transfusing Architecture: Ascent of a Developing World

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

		YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest		
1.	Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	х			7		
2.	The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	x			6		
3.	The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	Х			7		
4.	The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	Х			7		
5.	The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		x		6		
6.	The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		х		6		
7.	The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	Х			8		
8.	The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		х		6		
9.	The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	х			7		
10.	Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	Х			7		
	Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 67						

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

Contexts and references should be clearly presented; Is the cell working for any conditions.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- (x) 1. Recommended (no significant changes suggested)
- () 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- () 3. Not Recommended

ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM 2018 DCA CONFERENCE

Abstract Number: 146

Abstract/Paper Title: Transfusing architecture

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		Х		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		Х		5
 The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections. 			Х	2
 The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic. 			Х	2
The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			Х	2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		Х		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			Х	2
The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			Х	2
Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees			Х	2
Please Add To	otal Poin	ts from /	All Row	s: <mark>32</mark>

Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):

While the project seems to have general merit, this is not an abstract. This submission reads more as an oversimplified advertisement for a reductive practice/project delivery idea. This reviewer highly recommends checking the 'architecture as savior' attitude, and revising language such as "underdeveloped nations" and instead focus on constructing an argument for the work at hand. Show evidence to support the claims made. Follow standard abstract formatting rules.

Reviewer Recommendation:

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

- () 1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)
- () 2. Recommended with Reservation (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)
- (X) 3. Not Recommended