

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 150**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Disposable Architecture**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		X		5
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			9
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.	X			9
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.	X			7
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.	X			8
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		5
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			8
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.			X	5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		5
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			9
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 70</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The author asked some very interesting questions but did not give much indication about the shape of the answer and the method used to investigate. There was no bibliography.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 150**

**Abstract/Paper Disposable Architecture: Buildings with a Shelf Life**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes		X		6
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.	X			7
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		6
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.		X		6
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		6
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.		X		6
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.	X			7
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		6
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.		X		6
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees		X		6
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 62</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

The proposal needs to be more explained.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**

**Abstract Number: 150**

**Abstract/Paper Title: Disposable Architecture: Buildings with a Shelf Life**

Please mark the appropriate column and add mandatory written feedback below. The right hand column is for ranking by numeric number (1 being lowest and 10 being highest) for each row. Please add total.

	YES	Needs Work	NO	Please rank by a numeric number below for each row, 10 being highest 1 being lowest
1. Proposed abstract/paper addresses the conference theme or sub-themes	X			10
2. The content contains some original ideas and contributes to research, or teaching, or practice.		X		5
3. The purpose of the paper is stated clearly.		X		5
4. The paper is well organized and contains all the relevant sections.			X	2
5. The content shows evidence of sufficient background reading and state-of-the-art research and topic.		X		5
6. The research study methods are sound and appropriate.			X	2
7. The writing is clear, concise and interesting.		X		5
8. The references and quotations are clear. The bibliography is updated and relevant.		X		5
9. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.			X	2
10. Proposed paper will likely be of interest to conference participants and attendees	X			10
<b>Please Add Total Points from All Rows: 51</b>				

**Reviewer General Comments and Suggestions (mandatory written feedback):**

While the topic is interesting, and important questions are raised, the abstract is not well written. There are typographic errors that need to be corrected. Also, consider revising and using a standard abstract organizational format, background, methods, and more appropriately connecting evidence and argument, etc. The topic has merit, and would deem the abstract worthy of acceptance pending major revisions. Use the abstract to speak to the process, and to illustrate how results were achieved.

**Reviewer Recommendation:**

Please indicate which of the following actions you recommend.

1. **Recommended** (no significant changes suggested)

2. **Recommended with Reservation** (suggest changes to the manuscript as specified in this review)

3. **Not Recommended**

**ABSTRACT/PAPER REVIEW FORM  
2018 DCA CONFERENCE**